1 2 3 4 5 6	Benjamin C. Durham Nevada Bar No. 7684 BENJAMIN DURHAM LAW FIRM 601 S. 10 <sup>th</sup> St. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.631.6111  Attorney for Defendant		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )		
10 11	) CASE NO: 2:16-CR-30-006 Plaintiff, )		
12	vs. )		
13	) MARIA GUADALUPE HERNANDEZ-CORTES,)		
14	Defendant.		
15	)		
16	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SENTENCING		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between SUSAN CUSHMAN		
19	Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for Plaintiff, and BENJAMIN DURHAM, counsel		
20	for Defendant, that the sentencing currently scheduled for January 3, 2017, be vacated and		
21	continued for approximately 60 days.		
22	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:		
23			
24	1. Defense counsel needs additional time to prepare and complete certain actions		
25	related to sentencing mitigation in order to effectively represent Ms. Hernandez-Cortes		
26 27	before this Honorable Court at the time of sentencing. The Defendant concurs in this		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	request.		
20			

1	2. The plea agreement in this case includes a possible 2-point sentencing guideline			
2	reduction for a group plea if all defendants plead guilty. One defendant is still pending trial			
3	and has not pleaded guilty. A continuance will allow additional time to determine if Ms.			
4	Hernandez-Cortes will receive the group plea reduction.			
5	3. All parties agree to the continuance.			
6	4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.			
7 8	5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage			
9	of justice.			
10	6. This is the first request to cont	inuo santanging filad harain		
11	o. This is the first request to cont	inue sentencing med nerem.		
12	DATED this 22nd day of December, 2016.			
13	BENJAMIN DURHAM LAW FIRM	DANIEL G. BOGDEN		
14		United States Attorney		
15	/s/ Benjamin Durham	/s/ Susan Cushman		
16	BENJAMIN DURHAM	SUSAN CUSHMAN		
17	Nevada Bar No. 7684	Assistant United States Attorney		
18	601 S. 10 <sup>th</sup> St. Las Vegas, NV 89101	333 Las Vegas Blvd So. #5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
19	Attorney for Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff		
20				
21				
22	///			
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	///			
25	///			
26				
27	///			
28	///			

1	Benjamin C. Durham		
2	Nevada Bar No. 7684 BENJAMIN DURHAM LAW FIRM		
3	601 S. 10 <sup>th</sup> St.		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.631.6111		
5	Attorney for Defendant		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )		
10	) CASE NO: 2:16-CR-30-006		
11	Plaintiff, )		
12	vs.		
13	MARIA GUADALUPE HERNANDEZ-CORTES,)		
14	Defendant. )		
15	)		
16			
17	FINDINGS OF FACT		
18	Based on the pending stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Cour		
19	finds:		
20	1. Defense counsel needs additional time to prepare and complete certain actions		
21	related to sentencing mitigation in order to effectively represent Ms. Hernandez-Cortes		
22	before this Honorable Court at the time of sentencing. The Defendant concurs in this		
23			
24	request.		
25	2. The plea agreement in this case includes a possible 2-point sentencing guideline		
26	reduction for a group plea if all defendants plead guilty. One defendant is still pending trial		
27	and has not pleaded guilty. A continuance will allow additional time to determine if Ms		
28			

Hernandez-Cortes will receive the group plea reduction.

- 3. All parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
  - 6. This is the first request to continue sentencing filed herein.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for January 3, 2017 be continued to February 27, 2016 at the hour of 10:00 a.m.

DATED this 27th day of December, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE